	Option	Rationale	Pros	Cons	Notes
<u>A-</u> <u>1</u>	Sell the annex property as is.	Property is an asset that can be converted to cash.	1. Net proceeds could be used for OUUC expansion or social justice or other needs. [Current assessed value is \$193,250 \$100,000 land, and \$93,250 structure.] 2. Savings of approximately \$5,000 per year expense plus donated labor for ongoing maintenance. 3. Leaving the house intact may make the property more attractive to some buyers.	 Loss of control over use of the property. Need to resolve minor boundary line issue. Possible expense of septic inspection (and repair if needed.) Risk of buyer renting the house as is. Neighbors' concerns about the vacant house in its current condition. 	1.The existing Annex driveway in part lies outside the Annex property boundary and encroaches on OUUC property south of the Annex. A fence could be installed demarking the southerly Annex property line. 2.The septic system was pumped about five years ago and appears to continue to be reliable. Expense of inspection and/or repairs would be subject to negotiation with a potential buyer.
<u>A-</u> 2	Sell the annex property after removing the house.	1. Property is an asset that can be converted to cash. 2. The house is considered a potential hazard if sold as is.	1. Net proceeds could be used for OUUC expansion or social justice or other needs. [Current assessed value is \$193,250 \$100,000 land, and \$93,250 structure] 2. Savings of approximately \$5,000 per year expense plus donated labor for ongoing maintenance. 3. Removal of house removes risk of buyer renting it as is.	 Cost of structure removal estimated at up to \$22,000. Need to resolve minor boundary line issue. Possible expense of septic inspection (and repair if needed.) 	1.The existing Annex driveway in part lies outside the Annex property boundary and encroaches on OUUC property south of the Annex. A fence could be installed demarking the southerly Annex property line. 2.The septic system was pumped about five years ago and appears to continue to be reliable. Expense of inspection and/or repairs would be subject to negotiation with a potential buyer.

	Option	Rationale	Pros	Cons	Notes
<u>B</u>	Keep the property but demolish the house.	Property would be converted to green space, while also being preserved for future OUUC needs or sale.	1. Green space could provide a buffer between OUUC and other development. 2. Environmental benefits 3. Possible use as gardens, nature trail, play space, or labyrinth. 4. Possible use for homeless encampment or other social or environmental justice purpose. 5. Preservation of an asset that could be converted to cash at a later date.	1. Expense of building demolition, estimated at up to \$22,000. 2. Continued security and maintenance costs. 3. Property tax obligation: approx \$1256/year unless property is used for church purposes.	If green space is maintained for use by OUUC (e.g. nature trails, gardens, meditation space,) it may still qualify for the property tax exemption.
<u>C</u>	Gift the property to a non-profit organization to benefit low income housing or for environmental preservation.	Social justice and/or environmental community benefit.	1. Social or environmental justice benefit to OUUC and the community 2. Elimination of costs and responsibilities associated with owning the property.	Loss of capital asset and loss of potential income from sale.	1. Homes First! has expressed interest in the property, and would plan to assume costs of demolition and new construction and would actively manage the property. 2. Currently there is no clear interest shown by any land trust group.

Option "D" considered but eliminated from list of viable options:							
	Option	Rationale	Pros	Cons	Notes		
D	Keep house as is and use for a social justice purpose such as shelter for homeless families.	1. Continues to fulfill OUUC social justice mission. 2. Members of OUUC seek ways to serve homeless and other needy populations.	The property might help meet the needs for housing in the social justice arena.	1. Need for mitigation of building deficiencies. 2. Property management and program management scope and expense exceed the capacity of OUUC finances, staff, and volunteers. 3. Although some have advocated for use of the house by a refugee family, we have learned that refugee sponsorship requires a level of support which exceeds the resources of any single faith community.	Structural issues which may require significant repairs: 1. Significant settlements, 6" across width of structure. 2. Significant cracks in concrete block walls that are showing recent growth. 3. Significant leaning of east supporting foundation wall that is causing distress to the building. 4. On-going rodent infestation, currently mitigated through professional pest control. 5. Possible exposed asbestos in ceilings and floors - testing required. Test costs \$500; Remediation, if necessary, could cost up to \$25,000. 6. Possible exposed lead paint - testing required. Remediation would involve complete inside prime and repaint, \$200-\$300 plus 3-4 days labor.		